IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NOS.1006/06, 1007/06, 1008/06, 1009/06, 1010/06, 1011/06, 1012/06, 1013/06, 1014/06, 1015/05, 1016/05, 1017/06, 1018/06, 1019/06, 1020/06, 1021/06, 1022/06, 1023/06, 1024/06, 1025/06, 1026/06, 1027/06, 1030/06.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.A.P.Mundargi i/b R.V.Bansode for the petitioners.
Mr.S.R.Shinde A.P.P. for the State in WP Nos.1006/06.
Mr.S.R.Borulkar P.P. for the State in WP Nos.1007/06 to 1010/06.
Mr.A.S.Shitole A.P.P. for the State in WP Nos.1011/06 to 1015/06.
Mr.U.V.Nikam A.P.P. for the State in WP Nos.1016/06 and 1017/06.
Mr.D.S.Mhaispurkar A.P.P. for the State in WP Nos.1018/06 to 1020/06 & 1030/06.
Mr.S.V.More A.P.P. for the State in WP Nos.1021/06 to 1024/06.
Mr.P.A.Pol A.P.P. for the State in WP Nos.1025/06 to 1027/06.

CORAM: D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED: 13th June, 2006.

P.C.:

. Leave to add concerned police station as party respondent is granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

2. Heard Mr.Mundargi, learned senior counsel for the petitioner in all the petitions and learned A.P.P. for the State.

3. All these petitions are filed by one and the same petitioner for quashing the private complaints filed by the respondents under section 420 read with 34 of the I.P.C. in which the orders have been passed under section 156(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. After hearing Mr.Mundargi for sometime when I expressed that the petitions are premature Mr.Mundargi, learned senior counsel prayed for withdrawal of these petitions with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court at appropriate stage seeking appropriate reliefs. He further stated that the order under section 156(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure was passed on 22nd February, 2006 and till this date the petitioner has not been called by the police for investigation though the petitioner is prepared to co-operate with the investigation. Mr.Mundargi expressed the apprehension that in view of the registration of offences against the petitioner the investigating agency is likely to arrest him in connection with

the said offences. He, therefore, prayed 72 hours notice to the petitioner in pursuance of the orders under section 156(3) of the Code in all the petitions. This prayer was made to enable the petitioner to approach the court for anticipatory bail. The learned A.P.P. did not oppose this prayer seriously hence I pass the following order:

. The petitions are allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. The newly added respondent no.13 in all the petitions shall give 72 hours notice to the petitioners, in the event they decide to arrest the petitioners, in connection with the offence that has been registered in pursuance of the order under section 156(3) of the Code passed on 22.2.2006. The petitioners are directed to co-operate with the investigation and shall not leave the city of Sangli without informing the concerned police station until filing of the charge sheet. With these directions the writ petitions are disposed of. All contentions are left open.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)